

ADDENDUM 2

Questions and Answers for ArtsCenter RFQ

1. Please list the firms that prepared the Preliminary Concept designs, including architecture, historic preservation, mechanical/electrical/plumbing engineering, structural engineering, etc.

Two firms have produced concept designs for the site. Gordon Chong Associates, (2006) and Mark Cavagnero Associates (2013). Additional team information is not available. Concept Designs will be made available to shortlisted firms.

2. What is the anticipated project budget? Of this amount, how much has been raised?

Refer to RFQ. No further information available at this time.

3. Who will sit on the selection committee?

That information is confidential.

4. In Tab 4, Proposed Project Team Composition, there are two sets of page limits and possible points listed, 6 page limit, 10 points possible and 3 page limit, 5 points possible. Please clarify which one the district will use to calculate the final score.

The correct page limit and points possible is 6 page limit and 10 points possible

5. For Tab 4, you state that it is 6 pages, 10 points. However, just below you state 3 pages, 5 points. Can you clarify?

Same question as question no. 4 above. See answer provided above

6. Clarification on Tab 5 question 13. The section states it's worth 26 points. Is that a typo? If so, that appears to change the total value of the RFQ response.

The value of question 13 is 2 (two) points possible, not 26. The total possible points for this tab is 50.

7. Are members of the design team, including the architect, who did the preliminary concept design precluded from responding to the RFQ? If yes, please name the members.

No, they are not excluded.

8. Can you share the preliminary concept design?

The preliminary concept design is not available at this time.

9. Is there an opportunity to visit the project site prior to responding to the RFQ?

No formal site tours are planned. Site visits will likely be arranged for shortlisted firms.