ADDENDUM NO. 02

RFQ
Item No. 1: Article A.1 Insurance Requirements

Replace the text in paragraph 2, with new text in bold below.

If any policy is written on a Claims Made Form, the consultant must provide a project specific policy to continue the coverage for ten (10) five (5) years beyond the date of the individual project completion.

Item No. 2: Article A- Statement of Qualification Submittals

Add new text in bold below.

Statements of Qualifications should be submitted with six (6) bound hard copies in 8-1/2" x 11” format. Suggested length of SOQ is 30 pages single sided or 15 pages double sided (excluding resumes). Provide your firm’s Statement of Qualifications on a CD in PDF format. Deliver or mail the SOQs to:

RFI RESPONSES

1. Question: Please confirm that the firm that the statement refers to is only the Prime Submitting firm. In other words, firms that are a sub to the prime submitting firm do not have to have an established office within a 30-mile radius of the city limits of SF.
   Response: Only the prime submitting firm is required to have an established office within a 30 mile radius of San Francisco.
2. **Question:** Is this $100 million construction value for the School District project value or Construction Management Firm is expected to have worked on?
   **Response:** Construction values were modified in Addendum 1, reduced from $100 million to $20 million.

3. **Question:** Many small School Districts have construction value of much less than $100 million. Many small School Districts have Bond Program value of less than $100 million.
   **Response:** Refer to response to question 2.

4. **Question:** Many Small Local Construction Management Firms cannot meet this Reference requirements. Please, can the District review and lower this requirement to allow many Small Local Construction Management Firms compete for this SOQ?
   **Response:** Refer to response to question 2.

5. **Question:** Section 1, Bullet #4 (page 4 of 18): "Professional Liability Insurance ($5 million Firm/joint venture team/per occurrence)."
   **Question #1:** Does this requirement apply to an Individual Firm or Company?
   **Response:** The requirement is for the primary proposing entity, which can be an individual or Joint Venture firm.

6. **Question:** Will all the questions and answers from the Pre-Submittal Conference held on November 29, 2011 be posted in the upcoming Addenda?
   **Response:** Questions and answers from the pre-submittal conference will not be posted, because questions asked and addressed were recorded by attendees.

7. **Question:** Your RFQ asks for “Ca Pre-K and K-12 schools” experience. Our PM and CM staff have lead some of largest and most complex K-12 building programs in California. Can we utilize their expertise to fulfill the team experience reference requirements even if they were not employed with us?
   **Response:** District is looking for the proposing firms’ experience.

8. **Question:** Will the Evaluation Criteria Scoring Sheet be amended to show the new requirement for projects to be valued at $20M?
   **Response:** The scoring sheet will be modified to match changes in addendum 1.

9. **Question:** In the pre-submittal conference we heard that CA community college experience would be acceptable along with pre-K and K-12 school programs. Can you confirm, please?
   **Response:** Pre-K Through K-14 CA experience is acceptable.

10. **Question:** On the Evaluation Criteria Scoring Sheet, under the “Team Experience” section, the second bullet calls for five “recently completed projects”….. that will substantiate the firm’s ability to provide similar services on other CA pre-K and K-12 school programs in the last five (5) years.”
Can we showcase programs that are ongoing or where we already have at least $20M worth of construction in place?

**Response:** Ongoing current programs are acceptable as a means to meet the team experience criteria.

11. **Question:** Can you identify which program controls platform(s) used / preferred by the District (financial, scheduling, document control, reporting, etc.)?

**Response:** The District is finalizing the program controls system to be used for the Bond Program.

12. **Question:** It is our understanding that the PPDM will manage/assist the Architects in the preliminary design phase up to Design Development, at which time there will be a handoff from the PPDM to the CM firm responsible for a given project. Design Development though DSA design submission is then where the CM firms will assist the Architects in the design—which would essentially be the “late preliminary design phase” through final design. Is this the intention, and if so, should the response focus on design assistance to Architects from Design Development through DSA/late design, and not preliminary design?

**Response:** Construction Management firms will be assisting the AOR from Design Development through the bid phase and then through construction.

13. **Question:** Is there any restriction on a firm being both Prime and Subconsultant?

**Response:** No, there are no restrictions.

14. **Question:** Please let us know which firms has the SFUSD contracted with for CM/PM services in the past 3 years?

**Response:** McCarthy Construction, Swinerton, Kitchell, Vanir Construction and AECOM.

15. **Question:** Can the Lead Firm use their Subconsultant’s 5 Project References?

The Lead Firm has one Project Reference and Subconsultant’s have 5 Project References.

**Response:** No. Per section C2, which states “Provide a verifiable reference list of a minimum of five (5) programs performed under the name of the firm and/or team submitting”, which means the District wants the references of the principal firm (whether it is an individual, company, joint venture or partnership) that will be contracting with the District.