Responses to questions regarding RFQ for Environmental Analysis and Entitlements Report for the SFUSD Arts Center Campus

10/23/2017

1. Please confirm that you are not requesting a project budget or staff rates at this time.

   No fee proposal, project budget or staff rates are required in this phase of work.

2. Do subs need to provide references?

   We are only requiring references from the Prime, (3 minimum), at your discretion you may add two subconsultant references

3. Please clarify SFUSD’s responsibility to comply with other San Francisco ordinances and checklist, which provides several examples of the types of codes/ordinances I’m inquiring about

   The first phase of the work for this project is to determine what approach is best suited for this project and as part of that effort what city ordinances beyond those required by CEQA will be followed. This will also flush out which agencies will be involved. We are aware of some instances where the District, though not required to, has elected to become subject to certain city ordinances. We would envision that the answer of this question will emerge through this process.

4. Please confirm that as part of the RFP you are looking for a firm to perform a greenhouse gas inventory, forecast, and develop mitigation measures (if necessary) for the entire campus (similar to a Climate Action Plan prepared by a city).

   The first phase of the work for this project is to determine what approach is best suited for this project and as part of that effort what city ordinances beyond those required by CEQA will be followed. We would envision that the answer of this question will emerge through this process.

5. In the introduction paragraph of your RFP, there is mention of a “current design concept.” We would like to get copy of this if available or any other materials that reflect the proposed project

   The current design concept is being altered in favor of a series of new concepts which will result in a new concept. We expect that the selected consultant will work closely with the design team as these concepts are developed and final concept developed. So the "current" design concept would not be relevant and will not be issued.

6. On Section VII. Qualifications: Can you please clarify “illustrative materials”? Are you looking for examples of previously CEQA reports that our firm has completed?

   Yes
7. Given the 2000 EIR Finding’s reference to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, what will the City Planning Department’s role for this project be? Would any other City agencies or Commissions have a formal or informal role in the CEQA process?

See answer to question 3 above

8. Are any other state, federal or local agency approvals required, or funding expected, in order to implement the project?

The first phase of the work for this project is to determine what approach is best suited for this project and as part of that effort what city ordinances beyond those required by CEQA will be followed. This will also flush out which city, state or federal agencies will be involved. We would envision that the answer of this question will emerge through this process.

9. In regards to the requested Climate Change Analysis in the RFP, beyond an analysis of greenhouse gas emissions as outlined in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, would additional analysis or climate change planning services be needed by SFUSD?

See answer to question no. 4 above

10. Has additional SHPO consultation taken place on the project since 2000?

No