
SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
2011 PROPOSITION A BOND PROGRAM
REQUEST FOR SUBMITTAL OF QUALIFICATIONS
Pre-Qualification Questionnaire for Prospective
Design Build Entities
Willie L. Brown, Jr. Middle School

ADDENDUM NO. 2

PROJECT: Request for Submittal of Qualifications
Willie L. Brown, Jr. Middle School
2055 Silver Avenue, SF CA

DATE: June 1, 2012

OWNER: San Francisco Unified School District
135 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103

Notice is hereby given to all prospective Design Build Entities (DBE) that Request for Submittal of Qualifications (RFQ) on the subject project are modified as hereinafter set forth. This Addendum shall be attached to and form a part of the RFQ. In case of difference with previous addenda or communications, this addendum takes precedence.

It is the responsibility of all DBE to notify all DBE team members of all changes contained in this addendum.

RFI RESPONSES

Question 1: What is the maximum number of teams that will allowed to participate in the RFP?

Response: All Pre-qualified Design/Build Entities will be issued a Request for Proposals (RFP). The District may “shortlist” 3-5 Design/Build Entities as a first stage of the RFP process. These shortlisted Design/Build Entities will be asked to submit a Proposal.

Question 2: Is a stipend provided for the RFP phase and if so, what is the amount?

Response: A stipend will be provided to the Design/Build Entities that submit a final Design/Build Proposal, but which are not awarded the project. The amount of the stipend has not yet been determined.

Question 3: Which designers are involved in the bridging documents and precluded from joining teams?

Response: The following firms are part of the Bridging Phase Design team, and are precluded. Swinerton is also precluded, as the District's Project/Construction Management Consultant:

- K2A Architects
- Alfa Tech
- Cornerstone Structural Engineering
- Underwood & Rosenblum
- ANLA Associates
- Charles M Salter Associates
- Leland Saylor Associates
- Fugro West
- Millennium Consulting Associates
- Lea & Braze Engineering

Question 4: Can you tell me which contractors the district has been working with? We are taking a look at possible partners.

Response: No - that is not an evaluation criterion. Design/Build Entities will be pre-qualified based on the criteria in the Pre-qualification Questionnaire - including criteria for the General Contractor.

Question 5: Section 9 (pages 24 to 35): Could you please provide list of the Exhibits included in this section that need to be completed and included in the qualifications submittal?

Response: Exhibits 1-4 are for information, and do not need to be included in the qualifications submittal. Note that Sections 7.B.2 and 8 do reference information in Exhibits that is relevant to the submittal for those Sections.

Question 6: Section 16 (page 57 to 61): Do the questions and requirements in this section apply to the Design/Build Entity (Prime contractor) only or do they also apply to the subcontractors? Please clarify.

Response: Only the Design/Build Entity.

Question 7: Please confirm and distribute the points and/or weighting of the Relevant Experience evaluation factors for the Qualification.

Response: The weighting of the sections of Part B – Relevant Experience are as follows:

10.	Relevant Experience of the Design Build Team	25%
11.	Relevant Experience / Training of Key Personnel	30%
12.	Apprenticeship Program	5%
13.	Safety Record	10%
14.	Local Hiring Program Experience	5%
15.	Experience of Work Completed with Design/Build Delivery and/or Teaming Approach	10%
16.	Termination/Failure to Complete/ Violations, Claims, Arbitration and Litigation	15%
	<hr/>	
	TOTAL	100%

Question 8: How will the 3-5 shortlisted firms be determined? By highest points of the Relevant Experience factors or another system?

Response: All Pre-qualified Design/Build Entities will be issued an RFP.

The District may “shortlist” 3-5 Design/Build Entities as a first stage of the RFP process. These shortlisted Design/Build Entities will be asked to submit a Proposal. RFP criteria for shortlisting have not yet been determined.

Question 9: With regard to section 10 (Relevant Experience of the Design Build Team), please confirm the quantity of projects expected for each team member. For example, in the Architect portion, are you expecting 10 projects or a minimum of 5 if each project meets all of the criteria (i.e., Project ABC Middle School in SF, valued at \$35million and approved by DSA – does this count as one project or three?). Please confirm the number of projects required for the GC, Architect and Engineers.

Response: Please see Addendum 1 for changes to the number of projects requested.

A project that meets multiple criteria can be listed multiple times. The following example, for the Architect, has 6 projects that meet all of the requested criteria:

List five (5) relevant projects, two (2) of which are in excess of \$30 million dollars in construction cost and at least two projects must have been completed in California:

- Public School A (Contra Costa County, Design/Build) - \$45M
- Public School B (Nevada, hard bid) - \$25M
- Private School C (Arizona, Design/Build) - \$20M
- Courthouse D (San Diego County, hard bid) - \$75M
- Police Station E (San Francisco, hard bid) - \$20M

List three (3) projects using a form of the Design/Build delivery method. (“Teaming” delivery methods, such as Design/Assist or CM-at-risk will be considered, however Design/Build projects are preferred):

- Public School A (Contra Costa County, Design/Build) - \$45M
- Private School C (Arizona, Design/Build) - \$20M
- Rocket Factory F (San Francisco, Design/Assist) - \$200M

List two (2) projects that required approval by the California Division of the State Architect (DSA). At least one must have been through the Oakland office of DSA:

- Public School A (Contra Costa County, Design/Build) - \$45M (Oakland DSA office)
- Courthouse D (San Diego County, hard bid) - \$75M (San Diego DSA office)

Note that “Public School A” is used for all 3 criteria. This same strategy can apply to submittals for all of the Design/Build Team members.

Question 10: With regard to section 10 responses, the questions for each team member refers to "Project Team Members". Are you looking for firm names or individual people to be listed here?

Response: **Section 10 requests information for firms, Section 11 requests information for individuals. The phrase "Project Team Members" here refers to the firms that comprise the Design/Build Team (listed in Section 4).**

Question 11: Do you know how many teams will be selected to propose and if a stipend is involved?

Response: **All Pre-qualified Design/Build Entities will be issued a RFP. The District may "shortlist" 3-5 Design/Build Entities as a first stage of the RFP process. These shortlisted Design/Build Entities will be asked to submit a Proposal.**

A stipend will be provided to the Design/Build Entities that submit a final Design/Build Proposal, but which are not awarded the project. The amount of the stipend has not yet been determined.

Question 12: Please confirm there will be an opportunity to list design-build subcontractors in the RFP response.

Response: **The District anticipates that the RFP will request the Design/Build Entity to list designated subcontractors in their Proposals. Design/Build subcontractors can be included.**

A Design/Build Entity may choose to include a Design/Build subcontractor as one of its Team Members in the Pre-qualification submittal – which is acceptable as long as the licensure requirements in Section 5 are met.

Question 13: The writable PDF Questionnaire limits the length of required responses. For example, under Section 10 Relevant Experience Part A General Contractor the space allotted for the Owner will not allow the full owner name to be printed. Please advise if it is acceptable to duplicate these forms in InDesign to allow the full response content to be shown.

Response: **As long as the appearance of the page issued by the District is not altered, another program may be used to enter the information (for example, InDesign). Also, if a response does not fit in the space provided, you can write "See Attached" in the space provided, and attach additional sheet(s) as needed right after the page that includes the question.**

Question 14: We are wondering if a live Word version of the prequal form is available in lieu of editable pdf. If so, is it possible to share a copy? The project information may take more space than the pdf form allows. If not, do you have a preference of format for our additional information / responses?

Response: A Word version of the Pre-qualification Questionnaire is not available. As long as the appearance of the page issued by the District is not altered, another program may be used to enter the information (for example, InDesign). Also, if a response does not fit in the space provided, you can write “See Attached” in the space provided, and attach additional sheet(s) as needed right after the page that includes the question.

Question 15: Section 15 asks for “projects completed together by your Design/Build team members using a Design/Build project delivery approach.” By “team members” do you mean individuals or firms?

Response: For this Section, both. We are looking for examples of past projects where some of your team members (firms or individuals) have worked together, on projects with a “teaming” delivery method. Projects that were Design/Assist or Integrated Project Delivery can be included, although Design/Build experience is preferred.

Question 16: Section 15 asks for projects completed using “a Design/Build project delivery approach.” What if our team’s firms and/or individuals have worked together, but don’t have experience on projects with a Design/Build contract?

Response: Similar “teaming” delivery methods, such as Design/Assist or Integrated Project Delivery can be included, although Design/Build experience is preferred.

Question 17: Section 15 asks for “a written statement signed by the key Design/Build team members (General Contractor, Architect of Record) summarizing the team’s approach.” What exactly do we need to submit for each of the three projects requested?

Response: Submit the following information for three projects:

- Description of each project
- Specific project delivery method (i.e. Design/Build, Design/Build/Bridging, Design Assist, Integrated Project Delivery, etc.)
- Name of the firms involved
- Names of personnel involved
- Role of each firm
- Final contract value
- Client reference

In addition to the information for three projects, provide a separate written statement signed by the General Contractor and Architect that summarizes your Design/Build Team’s approach and commitment to demonstrated team partnering and design excellence.

Question 18: Section 15 asks us to “Provide three (3) examples of projects completed together by your Design/Build team members using a Design/Build project delivery approach.” It is very difficult to put together a team (Contractor, Architect, MEP Engineers, Civil Engineer) with experience relevant to your project who have all worked together on three projects with a Design/Build delivery method. Is this what you’re asking for in Section 15?

Response: **No. We are looking for examples of past projects where some of your team members (firms or individuals) have worked together, on projects with a “teaming” delivery method. Here are some examples:**

- 1) The General Contractor and Architect have worked together on a project with a CM-at-risk contract.**
- 2) The General Contractor and Mechanical Engineer have worked together on a project where the HVAC was Design/Build.**
- 3) The Architect and Electrical Engineer have worked together on a project with an Integrated Project Delivery contract.**
- 4) The Superintendent and Civil Engineer have worked together on a project with a Design/Build Contract.**

The projects you submit for this Section do not require that all of the Design/Build Team members have worked together.

END OF ADDENDUM ITEMS