MEETING DATE: December 14, 2011
TIME: 6-8 p.m.
LOCATION: Board Room, 555 Franklin St.
MINUTES ADOPTED ON: Approved 1-12-12

Members present:

Magdalena de Guzman, Jeff Eng, Bayard Fong, Caroline Grannan, Mary Jue, Bill Kappenhagen, Ben Kauffman, Tim Lennon, Victor Tam, Angelina Wei

Staff members present: Kathleen Fleming, Steve Newton, Inger Nordhagen

Introductions and welcome of new member:

New CAC member Michael Reimer, principal of Roosevelt Middle School, was introduced.

CAC business:

There was not yet a quorum, so the minutes of previous meeting weren't presented for approval.

There were no members of the public present to comment.

Review information from manager meetings and reporting templates:

Small teams have reviewed and reported on the various PEEF programs. Kathy Fleming now needs to pull the documents together into one report and will share feedback with the program managers.

Members commented on the process. Some comments:
- It would be helpful to hear the parent perspective.
- Should there be more balance between the small teams researching the programs and information being shared with the full CAC? It may be an imbalance knowing so much about two programs and far less about others.
- The caliber of the CAC student members was praised.
- Is there time to reconfigure into larger teams? Time is too short to do more research – it won’t be possible to increase the depth of knowledge unless we add more meetings.

Teams' reports on programs began. Bill Kappenhagen presented his team's reports on Student Support Professionals and Translation and Interpretation Services. (The other team member is Jeff Eng.) The team's view is that Translation and Interpretation Services should not be funded through PEEF. They felt it's a critical service but not an appropriate recipient of PEEF Funding.

It was suggested that the CAC needs guiding principles for determining which programs should be funded. There was discussion of what was originally presented to San Francisco voters who approved Prop. H, creating the PEEF, especially as voters will be asked to renew it.
Question: if a team or the CAC wants more specifics, more information, will there be an opportunity to pursue that?

A second team presented its report – Victor Tam and Angelina Wei on Athletics. Questions were raised about the issue brought up by public commenters at the Nov. 9 PEEF CAC meeting: the fact that SFUSD has no girls’ soccer in middle school, only co-ed soccer. All four public commenters at the November meeting felt that severely limits girls' access to teams. Angelina and Victor said they discussed the issue with the program manager and a study is under way on implementing girls’ soccer teams. It was pointed out that parents aren’t unanimous on this issue and some feel their daughters are more challenged and able to play at a higher level on coed teams.

Review 2012-13 PEEF Expenditure Proposals:

Kathy went over the PEEF budget comparison handout. She noted that this is a tentative budget and is conservative. The new estimated amount is likely to be higher. The issue was raised that there has not been discussion of whether it's appropriate to put PEEF funds into the Weighted Student Formula.

Question: How will revised amounts be allocated among programs?

It was noted that the CAC should be able to review and make recommendations on the list of In-kind Services provided by the City and County of San Francisco as part of its PEEF obligation.

Next steps:

A working group was proposed for Jan. 4. The next formal CAC meeting is Jan. 11. The Board of Education's first reading will be Jan. 10; it's not necessary for CAC members to be present. The BOE sitting as a Committee of the Whole will address PEEF at on Tuesday, Jan. 17, and it's important for our committee to be there.

Concern was raised that there won't be an opportunity for the CAC to call for serious scrutiny of or challenge expenditures. There was discussion of a format for allowing all members to learn about different PEEF-funded programs at the Jan. 4 working group and Jan. 11 CAC meetings, with a gallery format suggested.

Tim Lennon moved that the Jan. 4 working group meeting be set; Caroline Grannan seconded; passed unanimously.

Review SRI International PEEF Report (discussion item):

It was asked how the SRI document informs the PEEF CAC's work. Kathy Fleming said the district contracted with SRI to study the impact of PEEF – what is the impact and what can we do to increase impact?

After further discussion, the meeting was adjourned at 8:09 p.m.